RESOLTUION NO. R-2025-40

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BASTROP, TEXAS, RATIFYING AN APPLICATION FOR THE SFY 2024-2025 FLOOD INFRASTRUCTURE FUND (FIF) FOR A GRANT OF \$14,988,181 (FOURTEEN MILLION NINE HUNDRED EIGHTY-EIGHT THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE DOLLARS) FOR FLOOD MITIGATION IMPROVEMENTS ALONG GILLS BRANCH, AS ATTACHED IN EXHIBIT A, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS, PROVIDING FOR A REPEALING CLAUSE, FINDINGS OF FACT, SEVERABILITY AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Bastrop, Texas has appointed the City Manager as the Chief Administrative Officer of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City Manager is responsible for the proper administration of all affairs of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Bastrop, Texas understands the importance of applying for grant funds to lessen the financial burden of the citizens; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Flood Mitigation Project would reduce the flooding area of impact for the citizens of Bastrop; and

WHEREAS, the need to submit the application was necessary to meet the application deadlines and be considered for the award.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BASTROP, TEXAS:

<u>Section 1</u>. The City Manager is hereby authorized to submit an application for SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund for a grant of \$14,988,181 for flood mitigation improvements along Gills Branch.

Section 2. The grant application is ratified as of February 25, 2025.

<u>Section 3.</u> Repealer: To the extent reasonably possible, resolutions are to be read together in harmony. However, all resolutions, or parts thereof, that are in conflict or inconsistent with any provision of this Resolution are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict, and the provisions of this Resolution shall be and remain controlling as to the matters regulated.

<u>Section 4.</u> Findings of Fact: The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this resolution ("Resolution") by reference as findings of fact as if expressly set forth word-forword herein.

Section 5. Severability: Should any of the clauses, sentences, paragraphs, sections, or parts of this Resolution be deemed invalid, unconstitutional, or unenforceable by a court of law or administrative agency with jurisdiction over the matter, such action shall not be construed to affect any other valid portion of this Resolution.

Section 6. Effective Date: This Resolution shall take effect upon the date of final passage noted below, or when all applicable publication requirements, if any, are satisfied in accordance with the City's Charter, its Code of Ordinances, and the laws of the State of Texas.

DULY RESOLVED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Bastrop, Texas, on the 25th day of February 2025.

APPROVED:

John/Kirkland, Mayor Pro-Tem

ATTEST:

City Secretary

Victoria Pschcik, Assistant City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Abridged Application

Due 4/15/2024 at 11:59pm CDT Email to FIF@twdb.texas.gov

By submitting this Abridged Application, you understand and confirm that the information provided is true and correct to the best of your knowledge and further understand that the failure to submit a complete Abridged Application by the stated deadlines, or to respond in a timely manner to additional requests for information, may result in the withdrawal of the Abridged Application without review. Please reference the SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund (FIF) Intended Use Plan (IUP) for further explanation of all information and questions included herein.

GENERAL INFOR	RIVIATIOI	V
Entity Name		
Bastrop		
Entity Type (City, Cou	ınty, Distric	ct, etc.)
City		
	Nama	Flinghoth Wiels
Primary Contact -	Name	Elizabeth Wick
Who should TWDB contact with	Title	Project Manager
questions during the review of this submission?	Phone	512-332-8932
	Email	ewick@cityofbastrop.org

PROJECT INFORMATION

s Branch Flood Mitigation Improvements
ME ⊠FMP □FMS
s Branch Flood Mitigation Improvements
000005
YES □NO
NO' , please explain below why and generally explain how the project pe and/or benefits are different than what is presented in the gional Flood Plan.
NO', applicant must also fill in Attachment No. 3 with all project ormation including the items that are different compared to the ormation presented in the Regional Flood Plan.
r ; i

NOTE: Regional flood planning data included in the draft FIF IUP materials, including associated with the abridged application, is not final and remains subject to change based on the final, Board-approved Regional Flood Plans.

NOTE: Abridged Applications submitted with partial projects from the regional flood plan will be considered ineligible for the FIF (except for phased projects).

FEDERAL AWARD MATCHING FUNDS

Requesting Federal Matching Award Funds?	□YES	⊠NO
Name of Federal Grant Program		
Date of Federal Application Submission		
Date of actual or anticipated selection or award		
NOTE: Federal application must have been submitted by Abridged Application submission date, and federal funds must be		
awarded by TWDB commitment date.		

BUDGET DETAILS

Financing Requested from Federal Sources - Federal Agency Name: FEMA - Disaster Number (if associated with disaster funding): DR-4485	\$0
Financing from Other Sources (Examples: All cash/loan/bond proceeds/non-federal grants, etc.)	\$ 0
Amount Requested from TWDB	\$ 14,988,181
Total Project Cost (Federal Funds + Other Sources + TWDB Request)	\$ 14,988,181

MINIMUM STANDARDS

Only projects that satisfy all minimum standards will be included in the prioritization. The numbered minimum standards reference the corresponding standard within the IUP.

CHECKLIST	MINIMUM STANDARDS
	Regional Flood Plan
\boxtimes	The project is specifically recommended in the corresponding Regional Flood Plan; the associated, unique, 9-digit ID and name has been provided; and any deviations in the benefits or geographic or other scope of the abridged
	application from the project that is presented in the Regional Flood Plan are noted, explained, and changed data provided in the associated Attachment No. 3.
	1. Benefit/Cost Ratio (BCR)
\boxtimes	For construction-oriented projects, including those with only planning, acquisition, and design (PAD) phases, the benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of the proposed project is ≥1.0, or the applicant must provide a detailed explanation for why the applicant considers the project to be justified, including a discussion of the primary benefits of the project, if any, that could not be quantified and were therefore not included in the BCR calculation. Please provide the following:
	 A description of the BCA methodology (See example templates <u>here</u>, including the specific analysis tool and version used). Documentation of assumptions/parameters used to generate the BCA.
	A detailed BCA calculation. Applicant must provide all digital input files for BCA (e.g., Excel BCA Input Tool Run or additional calculations used in Excel or other formats)
OR	Identify and explain the responsible party for operation and maintenance (O&M) of the infrastructure and from what funding source O&M will be provided. O&M costs should be included within the BCA.
	<u>OR</u>
	N/A for FME Category, FMS Category, and potentially federal award matching fund projects (See the "Federal Award Matching Funds" section of the IUP for details).

CHECKLIST	MINIMUM STANDARDS
	2. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
	 If the project is a flood control project (as defined in 31 TAC § 363.402(3)), and the project watershed is partially located outside the political subdivision making the application, attach the following information: A list of all eligible political subdivisions that will be required to approve and sign an MOU (all eligible political subdivisions, as defined in the IUP, within the project watershed). A certification that the applicant has provided a copy of the proposed MOU and an adequately detailed description of the proposed project to all eligible political subdivisions on the list.
<u>OR</u>	 PDF maps and GIS/KMZ files for all proposed project components and all boundaries for cities, districts, etc. within the proposed project watershed area.
	<u>OR</u>
	N/A for projects that are not flood control projects, including FMEs, or projects where the project watershed lies wholly within the applicant's boundaries.
\boxtimes	3. Affidavit (a) The applicant has acted cooperatively with other political subdivisions to address flood control needs in the area
AND	in which the eligible political subdivisions are located, and all eligible political subdivisions substantially affected by the proposed flood project have participated in the process of developing the proposed flood project.
	AND (b) Applicant is aware of the affidavit requirements for the full/complete application and has or will fulfill all
	applicable requirements
	4. Redundant Funding
	The funding request does not include redundant funding for activities already performed and/or funded through
	another source.
	5. National Flood Insurance Program
	(a) The area to be benefitted by the proposed project has floodplain management ordinances in place and the
<u>OR</u>	appropriate entity is currently enforcing floodplain management standards at least equivalent to the National Flood
	Insurance Program (NFIP) minimum standards.
	<u>OR</u>
	(b) Requesting funds to fulfill additional requirements for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program.
	6. Best/Most Recent Available Data The average of a reliable data.
	The proposed project was developed using the best and most recent available data.
	7. Request for Constructions Funds (a) Operations and maintenance (O&M) costs associated with proposed facilities have been considered; AND,
OR	(b) Floodwater capture techniques have been considered.
<u> </u>	OR
	N/A for projects that are not requesting construction funds.
	Additional Requirements for Flood Management Evaluation (FME) Category
	Applicant is aware that prior to the complete application, but not prior to the submittal of the abridged application,
	applicants must notify all cities, counties, non-profit water supply corporations, regional planning agencies, regional
	water planning groups, and all districts and authorities created under the Texas Constitution, Article III, Chapter 52,
OB	or Article XVI, Chapter 59, in the planning area by certified mail that an application for planning assistance is being
<u>OR</u>	filed with the TWDB.
	<u>OR</u>
	N/A for projects that do not fall within the Flood Management Evaluation (FME) Category.
	Additional Requirements for Flood Management Strategy (FMS) Category
	The following is required in the abridged application for FMS Category non-study funding applications, which
	include construction-oriented projects and implementation projects, such as regulatory changes, flood warning
	improvements, emergency action plans, and education campaigns:
	Detail of the current flood risk in the project area. Detail of the revised flood risk of the project area if the project is constructed /implemented.
	 Detail of the revised flood risk of the project area if the project is constructed/implemented. The level of protection (i.e., 4%, 2%, 1% annual chance storm events) provided by the proposed project
	must be reported, when possible. For example, some implementation projects (like regulatory changes)
	may have defined levels of protection, others (like education campaigns) may not.
	The following is required in the abridged application for roadway construction-oriented projects:
	Roadway classification

CHECKLIST	MINIMUM STANDARDS
OR	 Traffic count Detour distances Accident data Inundation risk including depths and velocities during 50%, 10%, 4%, 2%, 1% and 0.2% annual chance storm events. The following is required in the abridged application for Flood Early Warning Systems (FEWS) construction-oriented projects: Quantitative information on the population impacted.
	N/A for projects that do not fall within the Flood Management Strategy (FMS) Category.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT

Please be sure this description includes all major project components and clearly states what the project seeks to accomplish.

History of Flooding and Analyses

The City of Bastrop (population 11,189) is home to the Colorado Tributary of Gills Branch. Gills Branch has a contributing drainage area of 2.8 square miles that encompasses the downtown area with the headwaters extending approximately a half-mile northeast of the City limits. Gills Branch meanders through the historic downtown flowing southwest through the City until its confluence with the Colorado River, just downstream of Texas State Highway (SH) 71. The City has historically experienced flooding along Gills Branch during heavy rainfall storm events. The City observed significant flooding during the 2015 Memorial Day weekend floods due to a lack of conveyance capacity of the creek/channel. Gills Branch frequently overflows as the channel does not contain a 25-year storm event. A 100-year storm event causes Gills Branch to overflow its channel banks causing flooding impacts on approximately 154 acres of in the City of Bastrop. The flooding impacts approximately 362 structures. Impacts include roadway flooding, lot flooding, and buildings becoming inundated with flood waters.

Extensive studies, including: the Regional Flood Plan; Bastrop County Flood Protection Planning (FPP) study; 1-dimensional (1D) hydraulic analysis of the watershed; and 2-dimensional (2D) hydraulic analysis determining the complex overflow leaving the Gills Branch and subsequent flows to the west through the City, indicated the impact to the at-risk structures, and established that the channel size is substantially undersized, with approximately 1,850 CFS overflowing along the western bank during a 1% ACE. Additional 2D analyses were conducted along with conceptual flood mitigation solutions to minimize the channel overflow.

Proposed Scope of Work

The City of Bastrop requests \$14,988,181 for the proposed Flood Mitigation Project (FMP), which will include: approximately 5,050 linear feet of channel benching (nature-based); 175 linear feet of channel improvements (nature-based); roadway capacity improvements for roadway creek crossings located at Pine Street, Chestnut Street, and Farm Street; and landscape wall improvements. The existing creek crossings are currently box culverts we propose to widen with bridge structures to allow more flow underneath these roadways. These flood mitigation improvements will reduce the flooding area from 154 acres to approximately 35 acres of impact, reducing overflows occurring in the Gills Branch Tributary (Gills Branch Watershed) by reducing the overall flooding area and risk of flood damage to existing structures within the project area. The project will remove 242 structures from the 1% ACE floodplain, including 170 residential structures (1,050 people), and one critical facility. The proposed project will protect major and minor access routes in floodplain and emergency service access to EMS, police, and fire, allowing emergency services access to the entire administrative area.

The proposed scope/budget includes:

- Professional Services: Engineering Design, Permitting and Construction/Bid Phase Services
- Land Acquisition
- Construction

This project was submitted through the HMGP DR-4485, however, no selection has been made at this time. In preparation for the HMGP submittal, costs were refined and increased according to further scoping of the project.

Nature Based and Additional Benefits

The Gills Branch Flood Mitigation Improvements project has a total project area that encompasses almost 16 acres. Approximately 10.7 acres, or 69% of the project area, will be vegetated with native riparian grass		
mix along the stream corridor. Open green space will be located outside of the creek corridor in the uplands area and encompasses approximately 2.93 acres, or 18% of the project area, and will be vegetated with upland native grass seed with a variety of upland native grass seeding. Costs for nature-based benefits		
are outlined in the attached OPPC, and estimated at \$6,860,720, or approximately 45.8% of the proposed project total.		

INFORMATION FOR GRANT FUNDING - Provide information for the applicable level of grant funding.

Flood Management Evaluation¹ (FME) Category:

Grant Qualifier	Applicant Response
What is the AMHI (weighted average based on population) of the project area?	N/A
If requesting grant funds that rely on a calculation of the AMHI, then <u>attach the calculation</u> of the weighted average amounts for the project area based on the applicable U.S. Census Bureau geographic areas, such as County, Place (City), Census Tract, or Block Group using the ACS data sources described in the IUP.	

Flood Mitigation Project² (FMP) and Flood Management Strategy³ (FMS) Categories:

Grant Qualifier	Applicant Response
What is the AMHI (weighted average based on population) of the project area?	81%
If requesting grant funds that rely on a calculation of the AMHI, then attach the calculation of	
the weighted average amounts for the project area based on the applicable U.S. Census	
Bureau geographic areas, such as County, Place (City), Census Tract, or Block Group using the	
ACS data sources described in the IUP.	
For consideration as a Rural Applicant:	N/A
Are you an eligible entity based on the definition below? If so, please identify the applicable	
category below or provide an explanation under (D):	
(A) a nonprofit water supply or sewer service corporation created and operating under	
Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code or a district or authority created under Section 52, Article	
III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, no part of the service area of which is located	
in an urban area with a population of more than 50,000;	
(B) a municipality:	
(i) with a population of 10,000 or less; or	
(ii) located wholly in a county in which no urban area has a population of more than	
50,000;	
© a county in which no urban area has a population of more than 50,000; or	
(D) an entity that:	
(i) is a nonprofit water supply or sewer service corporation created and operating under	
Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code, a district or authority created under Section 52,	
Article III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, a municipality, county, or other	
political subdivision of the state, or an interstate compact commission to which the state is a party; and	
(ii) demonstrates in a manner satisfactory to the board that the entity is rural or the area	
to be served by the project is a wholly rural area despite not otherwise qualifying under	
Paragraph (A), (B), or (C).	
For consideration as a Green or Nature-Based project:	45.8%
What is the percentage of total project costs that are considered green or nature-based? The	
<u>calculation must be attached.</u>	

¹ 31 TAC § 361.10 Flood Management Evaluation (FME)--A proposed study to identify and assess and quantify flood risk or identify, evaluate, and recommend flood risk reduction solutions.

² 31 TAC § 361.10 Flood Mitigation Project (FMP)--A proposed project, both structural and non-structural, that has a non-zero capital costs or other non-recurring cost and that when implemented will reduce flood risk, mitigate flood hazards to life or property.

³ 31 TAC § 361.10 Flood Management Strategy (FMS)--Flood risk reduction solution ideas and strategies that do not belong in FME or FMP categories. Examples may include regulatory enhancements, development of entity-wide buyout programs, and public outreach and education.

SELECT QUESTIONS FOR PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Applicant Response
TIEBREAKER: Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)	Average SVI of benefitting area: 0.46
	Geographic basis: Census Tracts Counties Please attach a list of the selected geographies and an explanation of why they were selected.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE FLOOD INFORMATION CLEARINGHOUSE COMMITTEE This form is <u>not</u> required for submittal of a SFY 2024-2025 FIF Abridged Application.

Responses to the following questions, along with other information included in this Abridged Application, will be shared with the Flood Information Clearinghouse Committee (FLICC). The FLICC is a cooperative effort between the TWDB, General Land Office (GLO), Texas Division of Emergency Management (TDEM), and other state and federal agencies that administer flood mitigation financial assistance programs. After review by the FLICC, the applicant may be advised on other available funding opportunities for this project and given instructions on how to apply to relevant programs. Please visit the FLICC website for more information: www.texasfloodclearinghouse.org

Type of Assistance Requested (Check all that apply):	 □ Low Interest Loan ⋈ Grant ⋈ Loan/Grant Combination □ Local Match for Federal Funding
Is the application requesting funds for the local cost share of a federally funded project? If yes, what is the name of the program?	☐ Yes, Name of program: ☐ No
Associated FEMA disaster name and number (if applicable).	
County(ies) in which the project is located.	Bastrop County
Does the applicant have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan?	Yes
Is the community to be served by the project in good standing with the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)?	Yes
Will this project involve enlargement of a dam or levee beyond the original footprint of the structure that existed prior to a disaster event?	No
Will this project mitigate a repetitive or severe repetitive loss property?	Yes

ATTACHMENT CHECKLIST

Complete	N/A	Attachment Description
		Benefit-Cost Ratio – BCR required information (See "Minimum Standards").
		Memorandum of Understanding – MOU required information (See "Minimum Standards" and Attachment No. 1).
		 Maps – Maps detailing project or study area boundaries, all proposed project components, HUC12, and all boundaries for cities, districts, etc. within the proposed project watershed area (See "Minimum Standards"): FME Category: PDF maps and GIS files must be submitted. FMP Category: PDF maps and GIS/KMZ files must be submitted. FMS Category: PDF maps and GIS/KMZ files must be submitted.
		National Flood Insurance Program – A pdf copy of (or a link to) ordinances demonstrating the area to be primarily benefitted by the proposed project has floodplain ordinances in place at least equivalent to NFIP minimum standards (See "Minimum Standards").
		National Flood Insurance Program – Certification on enforcing floodplain management standards for all applicable areas (See Attachment No. 1).
		Best/Most Recent Data – Documentation indicating the best/most recent data was used in the development of the proposed project (See "Minimum Standards").
		Average Median Household Income – If requesting grant funds that rely on a calculation of the AMHI, then attach the calculation of the weighted average amounts for the project area based on the applicable U.S. Census Bureau geographic areas such as County, Place (City), Census Tract, or Block Group and the ACS data sources described in the IUP (See "Information for Grant Funding").
		Social Vulnerability Index – List and explanation of geographies used to determine average SVI (See "Select Questions for Prioritization Criteria").
		<u>Federal Award Matching Funds Projects Only</u> - Documentation of an existing federal award pending availability of local match or submission of application for federal award (See "Project Information").
		<u>Construction Projects Only</u> – Description of the anticipated funding source for operations and maintenance costs (See "Minimum Standards").
		<u>Flood Management Strategy Category Only</u> – Additional required information for FMS Category (See "Minimum Standards").
		<u>For Green or Nature-Based Projects Only</u> – Documentation showing the calculation of the total project costs that are considered green or nature-based (See "Information for Grant Funding").
		Optional Item – Additional Information for the Flood Information Clearinghouse Committee (FLICC) (See "Additional Information for the Flood Information Clearinghouse Committee").

SUBMITTAL

l,	Sylvia Carrillo	(Name),	City Manager_	(Title), as the designated authorized
repre	sentative of the _	CITY OF BASTROP (E	intity), hereby ap	prove and authorize the submission of this abridged
appli	cation to the Texa	s Water Developme	nt Board. I certify	that all information contained herein is true and correct to
the b	est of my knowled	lge, that all minimu	m standards appl	icable at this stage of submission for the proposed project
have	been met, and the	at all required attac	hments have bee	n included. I understand the failure to submit a complete
ahrid	ged application by	the stated deadling	es may result in t	he withdrawal of the abridged application without review

ATTACHMENT 1: CERTIFICATIONS

	A	
Certification on MOUs (See Minimum Standard No. 2)	1, Sylvin Carrillo	(Name),
If no MOUs will be required, check here: □	serving as City Manager	(Title)
nere; □	hereby certify that Elizabeth Wick.	(Applicant)
	has provided all eligible political subdivisions, that will be require Memorandum of Understanding, a copy of their proposed Mer Understanding and an adequately detailed description of the proposed Mer	ed to submit a norandum of
	Signature Date	
Certification on Enforcing Floodplain Management	1, FABIOLA M. NE CARVA/NO	(Name),
Standards (See Minimum Standard No. 5)	serving as (EXECUTIVE MEELON OF ENGINEERING	(Title)
Exception: The only exception is an entity that is requesting FIF funding to	hereby certify that CITY OF BASTROP entity for area to be served by the project)	(Appropriate
fulfill additional requirements for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program. If this is the situation, check here:	is currently enforcing floodplain management standards at leas National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) minimum standards, be exceed the NFIP minimum standard.	•
	Signature Date	774

ATTACHMENT 2: Memorandum of Understanding Template

TEXAS WATER CODE SECTION 15.005 MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

This is a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the <<GOVERNING BODY>> of <<ENTITY A>>") and the <<GOVERNING BODY>> of <<ENTITY B>> (the "<<ENTITY B>>"). <<MAY INCLUDE

ADDITIONAL GOVERNING BODIES/POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS OR MAY DEVELOP INDIVIDUAL MOUS WITH EACH
POLITICAL SUBDIVISION WITHIN THE WATERSHED (see instructions in FIF IUP)>>

WHEREAS, pursuant to Texas Water Code § 15.005 and 31 Texas Administrative Code § 363.408, if the Executive Administrator determines that an application has flood control as one of its purposes and that the watershed in which the project is located is partially located outside the political subdivision making the application, the applicant must submit a written memorandum of understanding relating to the management of the watershed in which the project is to be located, signed by all governing bodies of eligible political subdivisions located in the project watershed; and

WHEREAS, <<ENTITY A>> has filed an application with the Texas Water Development Board for funding a project that has flood control as one of its purposes; and

WHEREAS, <<ENTITY A>> has determined that the watershed in which the project is located is partially located outside of <<ENTITY A>> and within the jurisdiction of <<ENITY B>>; and

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the benefits to the <<APPLICABLE/NAME OF>> Watershed and the State of Texas, <<ENTITY A>> and <<ENTITY B>> agree to the following:

- 1. <<ENTITY A>> will provide <<ANNUAL/QUARTERLY/MONTHLY>>progress updates to <<ENTITY B>> as the project develops and changes.
- 2. <<ENTITY A>> will notify <<ENTITY B>> of any potential change in impacts to <<ENTITY B>> within the <<QUARTER/MONTH>> of the identified change.
- 3. <<ENTITY B>> will provide constructive input to <<ENTITY A>> as the project develops and changes.
- 4. <<ENTITY A>> and <<ENTITY B>> will work cooperatively for the management of the <<NAME OF WATERSHED>>.

< <responsible a,="" entity="" for="" including="" official="" title="">></responsible>
< <entity a="">></entity>
< <responsible b="" entity="" for="" official="">></responsible>
< <entity b="">></entity>

ATTACHMENT 3: Updated Project Information for Consideration

The image below is a hyperlink.

