

**BASTROP CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
MAY 13, 2010**

The Bastrop Charter Review Committee met on Thursday, May 13, 2010 at 7:00 p.m. in the Bastrop City Hall Council Chambers, 904 Main Street. Members present were Chairman Ken Kesselus, Debbie Moore, Lisa Tantaksinanukij, Cam Chavez, III, Reverend R. D. Smith, Brandi Smith, Willie DeLaRosa, Karen Pinard, and Jeff Yarbrough.

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL.

At 7:00 p.m., Chairman Ken Kesselus called the meeting to order with all members present, with the exception of Brandi Smith who arrived at 7:13 p.m. City Secretary, Teresa Valdez conducted roll call.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF APRIL 15, 2010

Approval of the Minutes was postponed until the next meeting.

3. PUBLIC HEARING: TO RECEIVED INPUT ON THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS OF THE CITY'S CURRENT HOME RULE CHARTER:

- A. ARTICLE III, SECTION 3.02(a);
- B. ARTICLE III, SECTION 3.02(c);
- C. ARTICLE III, SECTION 3.08;
- D. ARTICLE XII, SECTION 12.01; and
- E. ALL OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE CITY'S CURRENT HOME RULE CHARTER.

Chairman Ken Kesselus stated the purpose of the Public Hearing was to receive citizen comments, questions and concerns about possible Charter amendments and particularly about the items listed on the Agenda. Mr. Kesselus stated after the Charter Review Committee receives comments they will then decide what recommendations to make to the City Council. Mr. Kesselus stated the City Council will determine whether to accept and/or revise the Committee's recommendation on any particular amendment and, then, whether to hold an election in November 2010.

The Charter Review Committee Members introduced themselves.

Mr. Kesselus opened the Public Hearing.

Mr. Kesselus stated the first item for discussion was Article III, Section 3.02(a) of the Charter. Mr. Kesselus stated this Article stated that the City Council is composed of 5 Council members and the Mayor, for a total of 6 members. Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee seeks input from citizens, as to whether the total number of Council members should be increased from a total of 6

members to a total of 7 members, (which total count includes the Mayor). Mr. Kesselus stated subject to public input presented tonight, the Committee is inclined to recommend that the Council membership be increased to a total of 7 members (which total count includes the Mayor). Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee's discussion on this matter included the fact that the City's population has more than doubled since the Charter was adopted. another person on the Council could add more diversity, and another Council member could help with the workload of the Council.

Debbie Moore stated the Committee had taken information provided by the City Manager on other cities the size of Bastrop and took into account the anticipated growth. Ms. Moore stated adding another Council member would be pro-active.

Mr. Kesselus stated he had received some comments from Ann Beck and Ed Dickens. Mr. Kesselus stated they both stated the current number of Council members was fine and they suggested no change to this Article.

Bob Parmelee, 103 Kukui Court, addressed the Committee. Mr. Parmelee suggested this Article remain the same with a total of 6 members of Council.

Doug Kelsay, 346 Hoffman Road, addressed the Committee. Mr. Kelsay stated 5 Council members and a Mayor was adequate unless a new member could be elected to represent the Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ).

City Attorney, J.C. Brown stated that was not allowed by State Law and that Council members elected had to reside in the City limits of Bastrop, Texas. Ms. Brown stated she would take that under advisement.

Cam Chavez asked Council Members Kay Garcia McAnally, Julie Hart and Ken Kesselus if they were overtaxed and could they use relief by adding an additional Council member.

Council Member Kay Garcia McAnally stated she does spend a lot of time working on things as a Council Member and as the City grows surely there will be more areas of concern to work on. Ms. Garcia McAnally stated she thinks for the moment the City is covered, but it could not hurt to have another person.

Council Member Julie Hart stated she did not have a preference either way. Ms. Hart stated she did not see a driving need at this time to add another Council member.

Martha Harris stated there are few people running for Council in the City elections now. Ms. Harris asked how adding an additional Council Member would affect the voting, there would be the possibility of having a tie vote every time.

Grace Garne, 310 Cedar Street, addressed the Committee. Ms. Garn stated if the Council was increased to an even number and the Mayor was given the opportunity to vote, then this one individual, the Mayor, would have more influence than prudent. Ms. Garn suggested the Council be left at an odd number of members.

Shawn Pletsch stated it sounded as if there was so much work for the Council to do, then more professional help should be hired and she would give more credence to the research on similar cities, if the size of the Council needed to be increased.

Willie DeLaRosa stated his initial thought was that 5 members of the Council were okay.

Clifford Wright, 311 Patton Lane, stated the Committee should make the public aware of the time frame that the Council is looking at to conduct a Charter Amendment Election. Mr. Wright stated this should generate more public input.

Mr. Kesselus stated the second item for discussion was Article III Section 3.02(c) which states that a "six-year term limit" applies to service by Council members (including the Mayor). Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee seeks input from citizens, as to whether the six-year term limits should continue or be changes.

Mr. Kesselus stated the email he received from Mr. Dickens stated Mr. Dickens did not recommend increasing term limits.

Mr. Kesselus stated an email received from Dawn Kana recommended the term limits be left as they are.

Mr. Kesselus stated a message received from Bobbie & Stephanie Jenkins recommended the term limits be left as they are.

Howard Nemerov, 310 Cedar Street, addressed the Committee. Mr. Nemerov stated the term limits should be left as they are.

G.A. Lewis, 614 Rebecca Lane, addressed the Committee and stated he thought the term limits were fine as they are now stated in the Charter.

Kay Garcia McAnally stated she believed the term limits should be kept as they are.

Bill Pletsch, 1507 Pecan, addressed the Committee and stated the current term limits were okay.

Grace Garne stated the current term limits were okay.

Gwen O'Barr, 112 Mahalua Lane, addressed the Committee. Ms. O'Barr stated she was a member of the original Charter Commission and the members had the help of an independent expert when developing the Charter. Ms. O'Barr stated term limits helped to infuse new qualified people to bring new ideas to the Council.

Karen Pinard stated everyone on the original Charter Commission had agreed to put term limits in the Charter.

Charlie Schroeder stated he did not believe anyone wanted to end the term limits in the current City Charter.

Dock Jackson, 1712 Chestnut, addressed the Committee. Mr. Jackson stated he strongly believed there should be no term limits.

John Creamer, 239 Kole Kole Lane, addressed the Committee and stated he felt term limits should stay in place.

Julie Hart stated term limits were very healthy and what the City's current Charter states is not that strict. Mr. Hart stated a person can sit out 1 year and then come back to run for Council again.

Jana Hellbusch, 166 Tahitian Dr., addressed the Committee. Ms. Hellbusch stated she agreed with Ms. Hart.

City Manager, Mike Talbot stated that 41% of Home Rule cites have term limits.

Reverend R.D. Smith stated that not one Council Member has sat out the 1 year and then run for Council again. Reverend Smith stated that in itself says that the exiting Council are okay with term limits.

Ken Kesselus stated the third item for discussion was Article III, Section 3.02(c) which stated that the length of a Council member's term is "2 years." Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee seeks input from citizens, as to whether the length of terms for Council members (including the Mayor) should be changed. Mr. Kesselus stated that subject to public input presented at the meeting tonight, the Committee is inclined to recommend that the length of a term should be increased to a period of more than the current 2 years, and is considering recommending that each member's term be extended to 3 years or, alternatively 4 years.

Karen Pinard stated the Committee had discussed that campaigning is getting more expensive and this may hinder someone from running for election.

Debbie Moore stated the Committee had also discussed the cost of elections for the City. Ms. Moore stated she had suggested 4 years and she explained her thinking on this. Ms. Moore stated the consensus of the Committee had been for 3 year terms.

Jeff Yarbrough stated the Committee had discussed that a 3 year tem would provide a greater contribution from Council.

Dock Jackson stated he agreed 3 year terms was a good alternative, that 4 years was too much.

Mr. Kesselus stated an email from Mr.Dickens had stated that he recommended leaving the terms at 2 years.

Mr. Kesselus stated an email from Ann Beck had stated the 2 year terms were okay.

Mr. Kesselus stated Dick Smith had stated that he favors 3 year terms.

Martha Harris, 1304 Water Street, addressed the Committee. Ms. Harris stated a 3 year term would give the Council a lot more depth and a 4 year term may limit someone because of being able to commit to that amount of time.

Charlie Schroeder stated a 3 year term automatically assumes the new Council member is good at the end of 2 years. Mr. Schroeder stated he is fine with 2 year terms.

Cam Chavez stated he had polled citizens and a comment he had received is: "In my current job I get evaluated every year, Council get evaluated every two years."

Donna McGilder asked what is cost the City to have an election.

City Secretary, Teresa Valdez stated approximately \$5,000.

John Creamer stated with 2 year terms the whole City Council could turn over, and 3 year terms would definitely help.

Howard Nemerov stated 2 year terms create an atmosphere of more accountability.

Derek Van Gelder, 1009 Pine Street, addressed the Committee. Mr. Van Gelder stated 6 years is enough for a Council member to serve, and he could go for two 3 year terms.

Julie Hart stated there is definitely an argument to be made for 3 year terms, but she can go back and forth on this.

Rob Rigsby, 352 Hoffman Road, stated there are pros and cons to this, but he was definitely opposed to 4 year terms if that meant a person could serve an 8 year term.

Willie stated he would like to see it put on the ballot as to what the citizens want, to either leave it as it currently is or to change to two 3 year terms.

Kay Garcia McAnally stated 4 year terms seem a bit dangerous – Two terms, 8 years is to long. Ms. McAnally stated as a Council Member you need the first year of the 2 year term to get your feet on the ground and she felt 3 year terms seems very beneficial.

Dock Jackson stated Ms. McAnally had a good point and he would recommend that the voters decide whether it should be left at 2 year terms or changed to 3 year terms.

Garce Garne asked if the Charter Review Committee had any information on the fiscal impact of such a change.

Mr. Kesselus stated he did not think so.

J.C. Brown stated that any recommended amendments to the Charter have to be presented to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and part of the documentation required by the DOJ is any potential fiscal impact.

Mr. Kesselus stated the fourth item for discussion was Article III, Section 3.08 which stated that the Mayor only votes “in the event of a tie or for purposes of elections”. Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee is seeking input from citizens, as to whether the Mayor should be granted the right to vote on all issues that come before the council, rather than just to break a tie or in elections.

Mr. Kesselus stated Mayor Terry Orr had stated that he feels strongly that the Mayor should not have a vote.

Mr. Kesselus stated he had a letter from Tom Scott stating that Mr. Scott stated he felt the Mayor should have a vote because his opinion is important and it is beneficial for him to register his vote.

Debbie Moore stated this would be more of an issue if a sixth member was added to the Council.

Mr. Kesselus stated he had received correspondence from the following citizens in regard to this matter: 1) Dick Smith stated he was in favor of the Mayor voting. Mr. Smith stated the School Board President votes; 2) Ed Dickens stated he was in favor of leaving it as it currently is in the Charter, the Mayor does not vote unless in a tie or elections; 3) Ann Beck said to leave it as it currently exists.

Derek Van Gelder stated he agreed with Tom Scott, that if the Mayor were allowed to vote it was more of an accountability issue. Mr. Van Gelder stated the current procedure does not allow the public to determine the Mayor’s stance on issues. Mr. Van Gelder stated the Mayor should be required to vote.’

Howard Nemerov stated he agreed with Mayor Orr, that this is a checks and balances issue. The Mayor does have a say through Committee Members. Mr. Nemerov stated the Mayor has plenty of influence by the way of committees and he suggested this be left as it is.

Jennifer Houlihan stated checks and balances is important and she could not imagine a Mayor not letting the public know his opinion. Ms. Houlihan stated a vote is not required by the Mayor.

Rob Bigsby stated if the Council were increased and the Mayor got to vote who would break the tie.

Mr. Kesselus stated in that situation the Mayor could vote to force a tie and then the item would go down as no action being taken because of the tie vote.

Gwen O’Barr stated the original Charter allows the Mayor other powers and if the Mayor were allowed to vote the Council would have to be increased by one vote and the powers given the Mayor should be changed.

Dock Jackson stated he agrees with Ms. O'Barr.

G.A. Lewis stated he could be supportive of the Mayor having a vote if the Mayor was elected at large and other Council Members were elected by single member districts.

Reverend Smith suggested the Council be increased by one member in size with the Mayor voting.

Mr. Kesselus stated the fifth item for discussion was Article III, Section 3.08 which allows any member of the Council to run for the office of Mayor. Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee seeks input from citizens as to whether sitting Council members should be required to resign their seat(s) on the Council before announcing candidacy for the position of Mayor.

Willie DeLaRosa stated this is what happened in Elgin this past election.

Martha Harris stated she believed a sitting Council member should resign to run for the position of Mayor.

City Attorney, J.C. Brown stated the resign to run provision ties in with the Texas Government Code if there are 3 years terms for Council members.

Mr. Kesselus stated Ann Beck had stated to leave it as it currently is stated in the Charter.

Debbie Moore stated here reasoning behind this is that with the current Charter there are three Council members who can run for the position of Mayor without resigning their seat because they run at the year opposite the Mayor and they are guaranteed to keep their Council member seat if they do not win the Mayor's race. Ms. Moore stated there are two Council members who have to pick whether to run in their current place or run as Mayor and she felt this was unfair. Ms. Moore stated the only way to make this fair would be for the Mayor to run at a different cycle than the Council members.

Bob Parmelee stated the Mayor should be put on a 3 year cycle and leave the Council members on a 2 year cycle.

Derek Van Gelder stated in judicial elections you have to resign to go into the next position and there is something to be said about that. Mr. Van Gelder stated he did not think you should be able to run for two position at the same time.

Shawn Pletsch stated if the City went to 3 year terms, and according the law, if you wanted to run for Mayor within the first year of your term you would have to resign.

Mr. Kesselus stated the sixth item for discussion is Article III, Section 3.08 which stated that "The Mayor shall be recognized as the chief executive officer of the City." Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee seeks input from citizens, as to whether that sentence should be deleted from the Charter to clarify the role of the Mayor in the Council-Manager form of government, which is the form of government under which Bastrop operates.

Willie DeLaRosa asked original Charter Commission Members Gwen O’Barr and Karen Pinard if they remembered why this was put in the Charter.

Ms. O’Barr and Ms. Pinard stated they remembered very little discussion on this item and that the City had hired a Consultant and it is possible that he had suggested this language.

City Attorney, J.C. Brown stated by State Law the Mayor is the chief executive officer for declaring emergencies. Ms. Brown stated she had reviewed at least 50 other city charters and this language was not in any of them. Ms. Brown stated this is an extremely unusual provision.

Reverend Smith stated his concern as it related to human resources - who is in charge of the employees the City Manager or the Mayor? Reverend Smith stated the City of Bastrop adopted the Council – Manager form of government and this puts the City Manager in charge of the employees.

Debbie Moore suggested the Mayor be designated as the chief executive officer and the City Manager as the chief operating officer.

Mr. Brown stated that would not help.

Willie DeLaRosa suggested the entire sentence be eliminated or just eliminate the word “executive”.

Mr. Kesselus stated he received correspondence from the following citizens in regard to this matter: 1) Ed Dickens – Do not change and 2) Terry Orr – Leave it as it currently is stated in the Charter.

Bob Parmelee asked if there were any consequences if nothing was changed.

Mr. Kesselus stated if this were the only item the Committee had agreed to do nothing at this time, but if there were other items to be placed as amendments to the Charter then let’s clean this item up.

Dock Jackson stated Bastrop has a Council – Manager form of government and the City Manager would be the Chief Executive Officer and the Mayor the Presiding Officer.

Doug Kelsay stated this was pretty trivial. Mr. Kelsay stated why try to make this so confusing, why put it in the system. Mr. Kelsay recommended keeping it simple.

Karen Pinard suggested to remove the word “executive”.

Jennifer Houlihan stated chief executive officer is the standard language in the corporate world. Ms. Houlihan suggested the Committee look at the best practices. Ms. Houlihan stated chief executive officer is a common term and the City should get in line with that.

Derek Van Gelder stated he agreed with Jennifer Houlihan and Dock Jackson and that Presiding Officer would be appropriate. Mr. Van Gelder stated the current language confuses the public.

Gwen O'Barr stated after listening to citizen comments she believes the language "chief executive officer" implies that the Mayor is in charge of everything.

Dr. Neil Gurwitz, 1306 Jefferson, addressed the Committee. Dr. Gurwitz stated he was the Chairman of the original Charter Commission and they took the advice of the expert and they knew in a few years that the City would have a change to make changes.

Richard Kindred, 608 Elizabeth Lane, addressed the Committee. Mr. Kindred suggested as these decisions are made to make sure there are names attached to them, think about things down the road. Mr. Kindred stated what happens if the City does not have another opportunity to visit this again.

Martha Harris asked about the law pertaining to amending the Charter.

Mr. Kesselus stated if the City conducts a Charter Amendment Election in November of this year then another Charter Amendment Election cannot be conducted until 2 years from November. Mr. Kesselus stated there is nothing that stops the Council from reviewing the Charter at any time.

Mr. Kesselus stated the seventh item for discussion is Article XII, Section 12.01 which uses the word "chairman" when referring to the person who heads the Planning and Zoning Commission. Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee seeks input from citizens as to whether the word "chairman" in Section 12.01 should be changed to the word "chair."

Mr. Kesselus stated the correspondence he had received from Ed Dickens stated this had no direct significance, and change it if you must.

Derek Van Gelder stated "chair" was more politically correct.

Mr. Kesselus stated the last item for discussion was all other provisions of the City's Charter.

Gwen O'Barr stated she would like to see the Committee consider changing from Council Members being elected "at large" to being elected by "single member districts". Ms. O'Barr stated Bastrop is large enough to have numerous neighborhoods and right now four out of the five Council Members live in the historical district. Ms. O'Barr stated Bastrop citizens would be served better if they had a Council Member who lived near them.

Willie DeLaRosa stated he disagreed, that all six Council members should know what is happening everywhere in the City.

G.A. Lewis stated he agreed with Ms. O'Barr.

Derek Van Gelder stated he also agreed with single member districts. Mr. Van Gelder stated that this was very important and virtually everything else in the United States is defined by boundaries.

Dock Jackson stated he is really opposed to single member districts because of the way Bastrop is composed. Mr. Jackson stated this would have to be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and it could open up a bigger can of worms. Mr. Jackson stated the city of Elgin was forced to go to single member districts and now there is little or no voting turnout. Mr. Jackson stated single member districts can tie a City up in court cases for quite some time.

Bob Parmelee stated he just ran a campaign on this and he found almost no one in favor. Mr. Parmelee stated citizens have given up because they feel they are swamped by downtown people. Mr. Parmelee suggested this be put before the voters and let them decide. Mr. Parmelee stated he was curious why this was not brought up by the Committee.

Richard Kindred stated the people who came here tonight are in favor of single member districts and the people who did not attend are not in favor of single member districts, yet he keeps hearing that there needs to be more diversity on the Council. Mr. Kindred stated if 'single member districts' is not that big of a deal, why not let the voters decide.

Clifford Wright stated he agreed with single member districts. Mr. Wright stated he is President of the Riverside Homeowners Association and he is responsible for bringing their issues and concerns to Council. Mr. Wright stated other communities do not have that kind of representation.

Rob Bigsby stated it had been discussed adding another Council member and based on the population of Bastrop it is possible another member would be added.

Mr. Kesselus stated Mayor Scott had stated this was troubling in several ways. Mr. Kesselus stated Mayor Scott stated he could not imagine how drawing district lines would take place and why would citizens want to diminish each citizen's representation on the Council – each citizen can hold each Council member responsible.

Mr. Kesselus asked City Attorney J.C. Brown to give input as to a Charter Amendment submission to the Department of Justice regarding single member districts.

City Attorney J.C. Brown stated all Charter Amendments go through the Department of Justice (DOJ) and when you put any item that would change every citizen's right to vote, it is put under a microscope. Ms. Brown stated single member districts are tied to the Census and she did not know what it would do due to the timing of a November election. Ms. Brown stated before a Charter Amendment Election is held the City must seek Pre-Clearance from the DOJ and normally if you don't hear anything from the DOJ in 60 days, you assume they approved you having the election. However, with the Voting Rights Act, if you didn't hear anything in 60 days and the City held the Election, it could be possible that the DOJ came back and did not approve what was presented to the voters. Ms. Brown stated Council would want to consider the timing of an election creating single member districts because the districts are tied to census data and it

would be very risky to utilize data from the 2000 census and not the census being conducted currently.

Reverend Smith stated the single member districts were put in place because of the civil rights movement.

Dock Jackson stated if single member districts were created it could create a problem. Mr. Jackson stated the City has a diverse Council. Mr. Jackson stated citizens do feel more comfortable if they have someone like themselves to talk too and he doesn't think single member districts will accomplish that in Bastrop.

Richard Kindred stated this public hearing is showing overwhelming support for single member districts. Mr. Kindred asked the Committee to consider single member districts since the majority of the attendees at this public hearing are asking for it.

G.A. Lewis stated he supports single member districts and he would be concerned about drawing districts in regard to race. Mr. Lewis stated half of the registered voters in the City live downtown and the other half live elsewhere.

Jeff Yarbrough stated when looking at single member districts he agrees that Bastrop has become such a melting pot and he finds it difficult to think that problems would not be brought to the Council. Mr. Yarbrough stated he feels that single member districts would not be beneficial to the citizens of Bastrop especially if the motivation was to obtain representation for minorities. Mr. Yarbrough stated because of our diverse community, at large positions appear to be a better method of representation rather than single member districts.

Shawn Pletsch stated she agreed with Dock Jackson. Ms. Pletsch stated the City should wait until the 2010 Census data is released if they want to create single member districts. Ms. Pletsch stated the City could damage itself if they are created before the new data is released.

Dr. Neil Gurwitz stated he served on the Council for many years and he never felt that he voted for a single neighborhood. Dr. Gurwitz stated dividing is not the answer, everyone if from Bastrop.

Jennifer Houlihan stated if the City pursues single member districts, they should utilize the 2010 Census data. Ms. Houlihan stated what concerned her is that perception is reality and the fact is that people don't feel like they are represented. Ms. Houlihan stated she did not want to have one side of the bridge versus the other side of the bridge. Ms. Houlihan stated this would absolutely be a problem.

Karen Pinard stated she is living proof of not feeling like she was represented. Ms. Pinard stated she has lived in Tahitian Village for 26 years and for years Tahitian Village residents paid tax like everybody else and got a Library card. Ms. Pinard stated she had a Council Member say "What do you people want?" Ms. Pinard stated she truly believes that the Council represents everybody, but she did know when someone was elected from the Tahitian Village area that she

could go to that person and she knew they were representing her. Ms. Pinard stated she is not for single member districts, nor is she against it.

Gwen O'Barr stated this is an important issue. Ms. O'Barr stated diversity is more than race. It can be gender or economic status and people feel more comfortable talking to someone who is from their neighborhood. Ms. O'Barr stated let's not do something because it is hard, let's do it because it is right.

Bob Parmelee stated "single member districts" is the big elephant in the room. Mr. Parmelee asked how many of the Committee members were in favor of "single member districts".

Mr. Kesselus stated there were some committee members in support of "single member districts" but there was not a majority that wanted to go forward with this.

Dock Jackson stated an alternative could be to have some Council members elected at large and some by single member districts. Mr. Jackson stated the DOJ may be more amicable to that.

Mr. Kesselus thanked everyone for attending and providing input.

Mr. Kelsay addressed the Committee and asked that a clear statement in regard to the Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) be placed in the Charter. He wanted a very long arm's relationship and does not want to see threats of fines, do not put your fingers in the ETJ.

City Attorney J.C. Brown stated that could not be put in the Charter. Ms. Brown stated the regulation in the ETJ is prescribed in State Law as to how Council is restricted and what can be done.

Dr. Gurwitz stated he wanted to address the issue of why City money is being spent in the center of the City versus Riverside Grove and Hunters Crossing. Dr. Gurwitz stated when Riverside Grove and Hunters Crossing were developed they were developed properly. Dr. Gurwitz stated in Bastrop the infrastructure is 50 to 100 years old and the money being put into repairing the infrastructure now should have been put in many years ago.

Mr. Kesselus stated the Committee will probably meet in June and will take their recommendations to Council by the first meeting in July.

#### 4. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM PUBLIC HEARING.

No discussion was held.

#### 5. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON RECOMMENDATIONS ON CHARTER AMENDMENTS TO BE PRESENTED TO THE BASTROP CITY COUNCIL.

No discussion was held.

6. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON FUTURE MEETING DATE.

Ken Kesselus stated he will contact the Committee members on a date for the next Charter Review Committee meeting. Mr. Kesselus stated he will coordinate with the City Manager and the City Attorney.

7. ADJOURNMENT.

At 9:50 p.m., Jeff Yarbrough moved to adjourn. Seconded by Willie DeLaRosa and carried unanimously.

APPROVED:

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ken Kesselus, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
Teresa Valdez, City Secretary